

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.urpto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/965,478	09/27/2001	Ronald Petkie	M8540/264302	3675
23370	7590 08/26/2003			
JOHN S. PRA		EXAMINER		
1100 PEACHT	STOCKTON, LLP TREE STREET		CHEN, BRET P	
SUITE 2800 ATLANTA, GA 30309			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,		•	1762	ζ·
			DATE MAILED: 08/26/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.

09/965,478

Applicant(s)

Ronald Petkie

e'i	Office	Action	Summary
-----	--------	--------	---------

Examiner

Bret Chen

Art Unit 1762



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears of	on the cover she	eet with	the correspondence address		
	for Reply					
	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET	TO EXPIRE	_3	_ MONTH(S) FROM		
	THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the					
_	g date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within th	ne statutory minimum	of thirty (3)	0) days will be considered timely.		
- If NO p	period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply ar	and will expire SIX (6) I	MONTHS fr	from the mailing date of this communication.		
- Any re	e to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the sply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the					
earned Status	d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).			·		
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jun 16, 20	003		·		
2a) 💢	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This acti	ion is non-final.				
3) 🗆	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposi	ition of Claims					
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-39</u>			is/are pending in the application.		
4	4a) Of the above, claim(s) <u>13-24, 32, 33, and 37-39</u>	1		is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are allowed.		
6) 💢	Claim(s) 1-12, 25-31, and 34-36			is/are rejected.		
	Claim(s)					
8) 🗆	Claims	are	subject	to restriction and/or election requirement.		
	ation Papers					
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) 🗌 accepte	d or b)[	$\square$ objected to by the Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the di					
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is:	a)□ a	approved b) $\square$ disapproved by the Examiner.		
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to	to this Office act	tion.			
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin	iner.	•			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pr	riority under 35	U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a) [	a) □ All b) □ Some* c) □ None of:					
	1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. $\square$ Certified copies of the priority documents have	e been received	d in App	olication No		
;	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
*S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	e certified copie	es not re	eceived.		
14) 🗌	14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).					
Ċ	a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.					
15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachm						
_	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)			0-413) Paper No(s)		
3) [_] Inte	ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (P10-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Uther:		·		

Application/Control Number: 09/965478

Art Unit: 1762

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Claims 1-39 are pending in this application. Amended claims 1, 10, 25 and newly added claims 32-39 are noted. Claims 13-24, 32-33, 37-39 have been withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a nonelected invention.

The amendment dated 6/16/03 has been entered and carefully considered.

## Specification

1. Applicant is reminded of the proper content of an abstract of the disclosure.

A patent abstract is a concise statement of the technical disclosure of the patent and should include that which is new in the art to which the invention pertains. If the patent is of a basic nature, the entire technical disclosure may be new in the art, and the abstract should be directed to the entire disclosure. If the patent is in the nature of an improvement in an old apparatus, process, product, or composition, the abstract should include the technical disclosure of the improvement. In certain patents, particularly those for compounds and compositions, wherein the process for making and/or the use thereof are not obvious, the abstract should set forth a process for making and/or use thereof. If the new technical disclosure involves modifications or alternatives, the abstract should mention by way of example the preferred modification or alternative.

The abstract should not refer to purported merits or speculative applications of the invention and should not compare the invention with the prior art.

Where applicable, the abstract should include the following:

- (1) if a machine or apparatus, its organization and operation;
- (2) if an article, its method of making:
- (3) if a chemical compound, its identity and use;
- (4) if a mixture, its ingredients;
- (5) if a process, the steps.

Extensive mechanical and design details of apparatus should not be given.

Application/Control Number: 09/965478 Page 3

Art Unit: 1762

It is noted that the claimed invention is directed to a method. The examiner suggests amending the abstract to reflect same.

2. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

It is noted that the claimed invention is directed solely to a method. The examiner suggests amending the title to reflect same.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-12, 25-31, 34-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gigl et al. (4,738,689) for the reasons listed in the previous office action.

Newly added claims 34-36 are directed to specific materials. These limitations have been previously addressed.

Application/Control Number: 09/965478 Page 4

Art Unit: 1762

### Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 6/16/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant first argues that the restriction requirement is improper because the product can be made by CVD (p.9).

The examiner agrees in part. While the examiner agrees that the specification does disclose CVD, the product can still be made by a materially different process such as laminating and brazing.

Applicant next argues that Gigl is directed to a different endeavor (non-analogous art) which is different from the brazeable diamond product for use in the microelectronic industry (p.10).

The examiner agrees in part. While Gigl is directed to coating tools, nowhere in the instant claims is there any mention limiting the product to the microelectronic industry. Hence, the applicant's arguments are not commensurate in scope with the claims as presently written.

Applicant next argues that Gigl teaches a porous polycrystalline diamond compact which is different from that of the brazeable diamond component (paragraph bridging pp.10-11).

The examiner disagrees. There is no factual evidence that the claimed diamond is any different from that of Gigl. Applicant's argument appears to be mere speculation without any factual evidence.

Applicant's arguments have been considered but are not deemed persuasive.

Application/Control Number: 09/965478

Art Unit: 1762

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office

action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is

reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR

1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however,

will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final

action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bret Chen whose telephone number is (703) 308-3809. The examiner can respect to the examiner can be examined as Mandau through Epidau from 10:00 are to 7:00 are

normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 10:00 am to 7:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Shrive Beck, can be reached on (703) 308-2333. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 872-9310. Amendment After Finals should be faxed to (703) 872-9311.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

bc

August 24, 2003

BRET CHEN PRIMARY EXAMINER Page 5